

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/802,807	03/18/2004	Kunio Shigeta	08830.0015 5104			
22852	7590 03/13/2006		EXAMINER			
FINNEGAN,	, HENDERSON, FAR	WALSH, RYAN D				
LLP	DV AVENITIE NIM	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2852			
				DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Commencer		10/802,80)7	SHIGETA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ryan D. V		2852	·			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. Issions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evo unication. tutory period will apply and w will. by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron lication to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on .						
• —	•	 2b)☐ This action is n	on-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application.							
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election red	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)□	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internatio							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen			4) Interview Summar	n/(PTO-413)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-	-152)			

Art Unit: 2852

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Embodiment 1, Figure 2

Embodiment 2, Figure 14

Embodiment 3, Figure 18

The species are independent or distinct because each embodiment is directed towards different control for a developer stirring section and a developer supplying/collecting section.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 29, 30, 37 and 41 appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/802,807 Page 3

Art Unit: 2852

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to David Hill on March 2, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2852

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan D. Walsh whose telephone number is 571-272-2726. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley can be reached on 571-272-2136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan D. Walsh Patent Examiner Art Unit 2852

Arthur T. Grimley
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800